IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE TWENTY FIFTH DAY OF JUNE TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO.7595 of 1999

Between:

1.N.S.Kesava Murthy, s/o late Venkatacharyulu, aged 37 years.
2. N.G.K.Acharyulu, s/o Late Venkatacharyulu, aged 50 years.
3. N.Sameerkumar, s/o late Venkatacharyulu, aged 45 years.
4. P.Venkata Seshacharyulu, s/o late Venkatacharyulu, aged 45 years.
5. P.Chakracharyulu, s/o Late Venkatacharyulu, aged 48 years.
6. P.Srinivasa Murthy s/o P.Seshacharyulu, aged 25 years.
7. P.Maruthibabu. s/o P.Seshacharyulu, aged 20 years.

(All are Hindus, r/o Santanutalapadu Village & Mandal, Prakasam District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1. The State of A.P. rep. by its Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad.

2. The State of A.P. rep.by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3. The Commissioner of Endowments, Tilak Road, Hyderabad.
4. The District Colelctor, Prakasam District, Ongole.
5. The M.R.O., Santanutalapadu Mandal, Prakasam District.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the

respondents initiating advance possession of the petitioners' agricultural land which is in their possession since last 100 years without initiating land acquisition proceedings under G.O.Ms.No.456, Rev-II Dated 29-09-1995 which is situated in R.S.No.236 and 950/t of Santhanutalapadu village and mandal, Prakasam district as illegal, arbitrary and unconstitutional and violative of mandatory provisions of the Land Acquisition Act and further prayed to direct the respondents to drop the proceedings in respect of the above said lands.

Counsel for the Petitioner: SMT.A.BHARATA LAKSHMI KUMARI (not present)

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: G.P. FOR SOCIAL WELFARE

Counsel for the respondent Nos.2,4 and 5: G.P.FOR REVENUE.

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: G.P.FOR ENDOWEMTNS.

The Court Made the Following :

Oral Order:

None appeared nor any representation was made on behalf of the petitioners on 18-06-2004. Therefore, the matter was directed to be posted for dismissal next week. Accordingly, the matter is listed in today's list under the caption "**for dismissal**". Today also learned counsel for the petitioners is absent. It seems that he is not interested in prosecuting the matter.

In view of the same, writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

A.GOPAL REDDY,J.

25-06-2004

Murthy

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1. Principal Secretary, Social Welfare Department,
   Secretariat, Hyderabad.
2. Principal Secretary, Revenue Department,
   Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. The Commissioner of Endowments, Tilak Road, Hyderabad.
4. the District Colelctor, Prakasam Dist., Ongole.
5. The M.R.O., Santanutalapadu Mandal, Prakasam District.
6. 2 CCs to G.P.for Social Welfare, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad.(OUT)
7. 2 CCs to G.P.for Revenue, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad.(OUT)

8. 2 CCs to G.P.for Endowments, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad.(OUT)
9.2 C.D. copies.
10. One spare copy.